

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌							
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0250502 DATE: <u>3/1/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:10 PM</u> DEPART:	<u>1:35 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: RIOS CONCRETE PUMPING & RENTAL, INC.							
FACILITY LOCATION: 8750 N W 93RD STREET							
MEDLEY 33178							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SERAFIN DEL RIO Email: CONTACT NAME: SERAFIN DEL RIO Email: PHONE: (305)888-740 Mobile: PHONE: (305)888-740 Mobile:							
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/3/2008 / 3/2/2013 (effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(1-171						
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>SERAFIN DEL RIO</u>	(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)						
Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Representative still SERAFIN DEL RIO?	⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still SERAFIN DEL RIO?  If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	Yes ⊠No ☐ Yes ☐No						

## Emissions Unit Section 1-53.0 TON/HR CONCRETE BATCH PLANT subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
TAKTI, PILE REVIEW FRIOR TO INDIECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
Date of last inspection: 12/9/2009     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ፭ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	box for each	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	box for cach	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon	nfined	
emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t		_
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?	Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	of	
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

## **Facility Section (continued)**

_			
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check or for each q	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?  If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		No   No   No   No   No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation of gas the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption of gash consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	mption	□ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check or for each q	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	<ul><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>	🔀 Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	ess	☐ No
	permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
<ol> <li>Is the facility: stationary ∑; relocatable ☐; or consisting o concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan</li> </ol>		box for each g question 2.)	• ,
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemer soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c be	 clow. )	- Yes	☐ No
<ul><li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departm e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busine</li><li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation</li></ul>	ess day prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation I	e business days following a relocation?	- Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at le			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a segand the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissio a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rout If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how	ons unit in that separate permit: ine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	<u></u>	□ No
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in durat	ion?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
			"
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:		(check <b>v</b> box for each	
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ol>	location of the facility or any emissions un or administrative change at the facility? 0 days of the change?	its or - Yes - Yes - Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that</li> <li>d. A change in ownership?</li></ul>	ement?is substantially different?		<ul><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li><li> No</li></ul>
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?		omitted Yes	☐ No
FRANK DELGADO	3/1/2011		
	Date of Inspection		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)			
	3/2012		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	spection	

**COMMENTS:** THE FACILITY WAS NOT OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. A VISIBLE EMISIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY WILLIAM ARLINGTON ON DECEMBER 16, 2010. THE VE TEST OPACITY WAS 0%. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.